



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,553	01/04/2002	Mark Linus Bauman	ROC920010193US4	7117
7590 01/26/2006			EXAMINER	
Gero G. McClellan			TRUONG, LECHI	
Moser, Patterson	n & Sheridan, L.L.P.			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			2194	
Houston, TX 77056-6582			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Advisory Action	10/037,553	BAUMAN ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LeChi Truong	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 12/19/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ci	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii subifilited iii a separate,	unlery med amendine	ant canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-10,12-19 and 21-26</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,11, 20</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a I).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		•				
See Continuation Sheet. 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:	(
	WILL SUPERVISOR	IAM THOMSON RY PATENT EXAMI	NER			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant amendment filed on 12/19/2005 has been considered but they are not persuasive:

Applicant argued in substance that:

- (1) " Aplications submit that the rejection of the present Application under 102(e) using its own parent is improper ".
- (2) " Nothing in this passage(or the APA generally) discloses a method of sockect-based communication that include issuing "a continuous mode operation to the socket" ".
- (3) " Firth fails to disclose techeniques for managing socket based communication".
- 2. Examiner respectfully disagreed with Applicant's remarks:

As to the point (1), In order to apply a reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), the inventive entity of the application must be different than that of the reference. Note that, where there are joint inventors, only one inventor *>needs to< be different for the inventive entities to be different and a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is applicable even if there are some inventors in common between the application and the reference (MPEP. 706.02(a)). The Joint inventor of this application and the reference is different. Therefore, the present Application under 102(e) is proper.

As to the point (2), APA teaches an intial series of operations 106 includes creating a socket(socket()), binding to a known address(bind()) and listening for incomming connections on the socket(listen()). An accept operating 108 is then issued to accept a new client connection, which is then given to one of the worker thread 104(socket)(page 3, In 21-27).

As to the point (3), Firth teaches The communication facilities required a socket connection, and underlying protocols (TCP/IP) to establish communications with remoter server application (col 3, ln 40-47), Functions in the WININET.DLL in the SAP 68 use socket as a communication facility SAP 88 to communicate with the Internet layer 70 (col 8, ln 37-41). The proxy application describled above provides a first level of network security. Several additional layers of security are also available from the reentrant Internet API function calls. Since sockets are used as SAP beween the Internet API functions call and the internet layer (col 20, ln 1-10). Since the SAP 68 use the sockets as a communication facility SAP 68 to communicate with the internet layer, the function calls must be passed to the socket. Firth also teaches the function calls used to communication can be used in a asynchronuous mode of operation and a synchronous mode of operation (col 3, ln 58-67).